DOCKET NO. 2005-27

IN THE MATTER OF § TEXAS STATE BOARD
THE LICENSE OF § VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS
MARILYN MALTBY, D.V.M. § VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS

AGREED ORDER

On this the 9th day of June, 2005, came on to be considered by the Texas State Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners ("Board") the matter of the license of MARILYN MALTBY, D.V. M. ("Respondent"). Pursuant to Section 801.408, Texas Occupations Code and Board Rule 575.27, an informal conference was held on February 18, 2005. The Respondent attended without counsel. The Board was represented at the conference by the Board’s Enforcement Committee.

Respondent, without admitting the truth of the findings and conclusions set out in this Agreed Order, wishes to waive a formal adjudicative hearing and thereby informally dispose of the issues without a formal adjudication. Respondent agrees to comply with the terms and conditions set forth in this Order. In waiving an adjudicative hearing, Respondent acknowledges her understanding of the alleged violations and the adequacy and sufficiency of the notice provided to her.

Upon the recommendation of the Enforcement Committee and with Respondent’s consent, the Board makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and enters this Agreed Order as set forth below.

Findings of Fact

Splint Issue

1. On September 10, 2002, Robin Maca presented “Bizi” to the Northwest Hills Pet Clinic, Austin, Texas, for examination of a limp that the dog had developed in her right front leg. Yvonna Ballard, D.V.M., examined the dog and noted lameness in the leg and swelling of the anterior carpus. Radiographs were taken and reviewed by Dr. Ballard and R. J. Kelly, D.V.M. Dr. Kelly applied a metasplint and bandage enclosing the entire leg. Instructions given to Ms. Maca upon discharge of the dog were to check the splint weekly and for the splint to stay in place for 2-3 weeks.

2. Ms. Maca became increasingly concerned about the “cast” on the dog’s leg. She had begun to notice bad odors emanating from the splint. It appeared that the splint was too tight and the dog was becoming increasingly uncomfortable. On September 18, 2002, Ms. Maca took the dog to the clinic, where Marilyn Maltby, D.V.M., inspected the splint and noted in the patient records, “Looks good.”
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3. On September 23, 2002, Ms. Maca returned the dog to the clinic to have his teeth cleaned and to check a swelling on the dog’s face. Dr. Maltby examined the splint and thought that the splint was “okay.” The clinic discharged the dog without any notation in the records as to the examination of the leg and splint. The splint and bandage were never changed and re-applied by the clinic during the patient’s visits to the clinic.

4. On September 30, 2002, Ms. Maca was able to see down into the cast and observed bone and blood. She immediately took “Bizi” to the Animal Emergency Clinic Northwest Austin. Erica Stremlau, D.V.M., examined the splint. She noticed soft tissue swelling and several pressure sores complicated by the splint. She also noticed a “foul-smelling discharge” in the bandage around the carpal region. Dr. Stremlau took radiographs, treated the wounds, applied new bandages, and advised Ms. Maca to have the leg looked at the next day by her regular veterinarian.

5. On October 1, 2002, Ms. Maca presented “Bizi” to Susan Culp, D.V.M., at the Griffith Small Animal Hospital, Austin, Texas. She changed the bandages and began hydrotherapy, and this continued on a regular basis for the rest of October in order to heal the pressure sores. Ms. Maca continued hydrotherapy treatments at home, and on January 24, 2003, Ms. Maca reported to Dr. Culp that the leg wounds had healed completely.

Tumor Issue

6. On January 22, 2002, Ms. Maca presented her dog “Bizi” to the Northwest Hills Pet Clinic to have the dog’s teeth cleaned. Prior to the dental, Dr. Maltby noticed a gingival mass near a tooth in the upper left jaw. A sample of the mass was removed and submitted to a laboratory for histopathology. The lab report noted the presence of tumor cells and stated: “Diagnosis: Round cell tumor. Prognosis: Favorable if this is a solitary lesion.” Dr. Kelly reported the tumor to Ms. Maca who was advised to watch closely for other oral growths and “see what, if anything, developed.” Even though the presence of round cell tumors was a serious development, no additional recommendations were made and Dr. Maltby did not consult with Ms. Maca about the tumor.

7. On September 23, 2002, Ms. Maca again presented “Bizi” to the clinic for a leg problem and swelling on the left side of the dog’s face. Dr. Maltby examined the dog and noted a swelling above the left 4th premolar. She at first thought the problem was an abscessed tooth, but Dr. Kelly decided, upon examination, to remove several teeth from the affected area, and specimens were sent to the lab for histopathology. The lab report diagnosed plasmacytoma and suggested that the dog should be observed “in the unlikely event of recurrence or additional lesions.” The pathologist noted that the neoplastic round cells “on occasion have been known to be metastatic.” Dr. Maltby then spoke to the pathologist who made the findings and the pathologist confirmed his
findings. Dr. Maltby conversed with an oncologist (Dr. Rogers) at Texas A&M concerning the tumor, who suggested that the dog could be treated with prednisone. The conversation with Dr. Rogers and his suggested treatment were not reported to Ms. Maca and no follow-up was done to ascertain the status of the tumor.

8. After a September 30, 2002 trip to the Animal Emergency Clinic Northwest Austin to attend to a problem with the dog’s leg, Ms. Maca, on October 1, 2002, presented “Bizi” to Susan Culp, D.V.M., at the Griffith Small Animal Hospital, Austin, Texas. With regard to the tumor issue, Dr. Culp advised Ms. Maca to get an opinion from a specialist. On January 24, 2003, Ms. Maca consulted with Elaine R. Caplan, D.V.M., Capital Area Veterinary Specialists, Austin, Texas. A CT scan was done at the Oak Springs Veterinary Diagnostic Hospital on March 4, 2003. The CT showed masses and nodules in the dog’s lungs and liver consistent with metastatic neoplasia. Surgery was not pursued. “Bizi” was euthanized on May 6, 2003.

9. Dr. Maltby failed to properly inspect and re-wrap the splint, and the patient was discharge on September 23, 2002, without any notation of the condition of the leg and splint. Dr. Maltby failed to consult and properly follow-up with the client to ascertain the condition and progression of the cancer in the patient. These actions and/or inactions do not represent the same degree of humane care, skill and diligence in treating patients as is ordinarily used in the same or similar circumstances by average members of the veterinary medical community in Austin, Texas or similar communities.

Conclusions of Law

1. Respondent is required to comply with the provisions of the Veterinary Licensing Act, Chapter 801, Texas Occupations Code, and with the Board’s Rules.

2. Based on Findings of Fact 2 through 9, Respondent has violated Rule 573.22, PROFESSIONAL STANDARD OF HUMANE TREATMENT, of the Board’s Rules of Professional Conduct, which requires veterinarians to exercise the same degree of humane care, skill and diligence in treating patients as is ordinarily used in the same or similar circumstances by average members of the veterinary medical profession in good standing in the locality or community in which they practice, or in similar communities.

3. Based on Findings of Fact 2 through 9 and Conclusions of Law 1 and 2, Respondent has violated Section 801.402 (6) of the Veterinary Licensing Act, Texas Occupations Code, and is subject to disciplinary action by the Board:

801.402. GENERAL GROUNDS FOR LICENSE DENIAL OR DISCIPLINARY ACTION. A person is subject to denial of a license or to disciplinary action under Section 801.401 if the person:

(6) engages in practices or conduct that violates the board’s rules of professional
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conduct.

4. Based on Conclusions of Law 1 through 3, Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 801.401 of the Veterinary Licensing Act, Texas Occupations Code:

801.401. DISCIPLINARY POWERS OF BOARD. (a) If an applicant or license holder is subject to denial of a license or to disciplinary action under Section 801.402, the Board may:

(1) refuse to examine an applicant or to issue or renew a license;
(2) revoke or suspend a license;
(3) place on probation a license holder or person whose license has been suspended;
(4) reprimand a license holder, or
(5) impose a civil penalty.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE BOARD AND RESPONDENT AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Board ORDERS that MARILYN MALTBY, D.V.M., be INFORMALLY REPRIMANDED.

The Board further ORDERS that:

1. Respondent shall abide by the Rules of Professional Conduct, the Texas Veterinary Licensing Act, and the laws of the State of Texas and the United States.

2. Respondent shall cooperate with the Board’s attorneys, investigators, compliance officers and other employees and agents investigating Respondent’s compliance with this Order.

3. Failure by Respondent to comply with the terms of this Agreed Order or with any other provisions of the Licensing Act or the Board Rules, may result in further disciplinary action.

Respondent, by signing this Agreed Order, acknowledges her understanding of the Agreed Order, the notice, and Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law herein set forth herein, and agrees that she will satisfactorily comply with the mandates of the Agreed Order in a timely manner or be subject to appropriate disciplinary action by the Board.

Respondent, by signing this Agreed Order, waives her right to a formal hearing and any right to seek judicial review of this Agreed Order. Respondent acknowledges that she is not represented by legal counsel in this matter.

RESPONDENT WAIVES ANY FURTHER HEARINGS OR APPEALS TO THE BOARD OR TO ANY COURT IN REGARD TO ALL TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THIS AGREED
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ORDER. NOTHING IN THIS ORDER SHALL BE DEEMED A WAIVER OF
RESPONDENT'S RIGHTS UNDER STATUTE OR UNDER THE UNITED STATES OR
TEXAS CONSTITUTIONS TO APPEAL AN ORDER OR ACTION OF THE BOARD
SUBSEQUENT TO THIS AGREED ORDER EXCEPT AS RESPONDENT MAY HAVE
OTHERWISE AGREED TO HEREIN. RESPONDENT AGREES THAT THIS IS A FINAL
ORDER.

The effective date of this Agreed Order shall be the date it is adopted by the Board.

I, MARILYN MALTBY, D.V.M., HAVE READ AND UNDERSTAND THE FOREGOING
AGREED ORDER. I UNDERSTAND BY SIGNING IT, I WAIVE CERTAIN RIGHTS. I
SIGN IT VOLUNTARILY. I UNDERSTAND THAT THIS ORDER CONTAINS THE
ENTIRE AGREEMENT AND THERE IS NO OTHER AGREEMENT OF ANY KIND,
VERBAL, WRITTEN OR OTHERWISE.

Marilyn Maltby, D.V.M.
Date

STATE OF TEXAS §
COUNTY OF Travis §

BEFORE ME, on this day, personally appeared MARILYN MALTBY, D.V.M., known to me as
the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing document, and acknowledged to me that
she executed the same for the purposes stated therein.

Given under the hand and seal of office this 23rd day of March, 2005.

TODD HIGDON
NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF TEXAS
My Comm. Exp. 09-27-09

Notary Public

SIGNED AND ENTERED by the TEXAS STATE BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICAL
EXAMINERS on this the 9th day of June, 2005

Gary C. Brantley, D.V.M., President