BEFORE THE BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS
IN AND FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS

IN THE MATTER OF REINSTATMENT OF MELTON G. SOWELL, D.V.M.
LICENSE NUMBER 2969 - REVOKED JANUARY 30, 1987

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND ORDERS OF THE BOARD

On the 23rd day of October, 1992, the TEXAS STATE BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS, being in regular meeting in the Fourth Floor Conference Room, 1946 South IH-35, Austin, Travis County, Texas, to determine whether cause exists under Article 8890, Revised Civil Statutes of Texas, to reinstate License Number 1611 heretofore issued to Melton G. Sowell, D.V.M., pursuant to Texas law.

At the hearing, Guy A. Sheppard, D.V.M., President of the Board presided and Robert L. Lewis, D.V.M. was recused from voting. The following members were present:

Dr. Guy A. Sheppard President of San Angelo, TX
Mr. Clark S. Willingham, Vice President of Dallas, TX
Dr. Larry M. Dubuisson, Member of Weslaco, TX
Dr. James N. Gomez, Member of Brownsville, TX
Dr. Alton F. Hopkins, Jr., Member of Dallas, TX
Dr. Robert D. Lewis, Member of Elgin, TX
Dr. John A. Wood, D.V.M. of Lufkin, TX

The Texas State Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners was represented by Chris Maczka, Assistant Attorney General. The Respondent was present and represented by Carlos E. Cardenas. Testimony and other evidence was received by the Board and, as a result thereof, the Board makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

Notice of Hearing on his Petition for reinstatement was served on Melton G. Sowell, D.V.M..

II.

Melton G. Sowell, D.V.M., hereinafter referred to as "Respondent" is not currently licensed to practice veterinary medicine in the State of Texas.
III.
Respondent’s license to practice veterinary medicine was revoked by Board Order dated January 30, 1987.

IV.
Respondent petitioned the Board for reinstatement of his license.

V.
Respondent’s license had been revoked in 1987 for serious violations of Board law and rules (a) requiring maintenance of records on controlled substances, (b) dispensing Schedule II drugs without first establishing a veterinarian/client relationship, and (c) failing to use Triplicate Prescription forms.

VI.
Respondent produced his testimony and documentation (a) regarding his general desire to resume practice and (b) stating that he had received psychological counseling as evidence in support of his petition.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I.
Neither Article 6252-13a, Texas Revised Civil Statutes, Annotated, nor Article 8890, Texas Revised Civil Statutes, Annotated, requires that the Board provide Respondent with a hearing on his Petition for Reinstatement.

II.
Respondent, by submitting a Petition for Reinstatement, requested and consented to the Board’s exercise of jurisdiction over his petition.

III.
Respondent failed to show good cause why his license could, or should, be reinstated.

IV.
Respondent failed to show that he is entitled to licensure under Article 8890.
ORDERS

It is therefore ORDERED that License Number 2969, heretofore issued to MELTON G. SOWELL, D.V.M. by the TEXAS BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS shall not be reinstated.

It is further ORDERED that all Findings of Fact herein, that could be deemed Conclusions of Law, and all Conclusions of Law that could be deemed Findings of Fact, are hereby adopted as such.

The foregoing Findings and Orders of the Texas State Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners are ORDERED to be entered as the FINDINGS AND ORDERS of the Board, a quorum and a majority of the members of such Board being present and participating in such hearing, deliberations and decision, and such FINDINGS AND ORDERS are made on the 23rd day of October, 1992, in Austin, Travis County, Texas.

As Executive Director of the Board I have been directed to prepare the Board’s Findings and Orders in appropriate form and submit a copy of same to you.

Executed this 3Rd day of November, 1992.

[Signature]

Buddy Matthijetz, Executive Director
Texas Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners
BEFORE THE BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS
IN AND FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS

IN THE MATTER OF REINSTATEMENT OF MELTON G. SOWELL, D.V.M.
LICENSE NUMBER 2969 - REVOKED JANUARY 30, 1987

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND ORDERS OF THE BOARD

On the 20th day of June, 1991, the TEXAS STATE BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS, being in regular meeting at the Wyndham Hotel, 4140 Governor's Row, Austin, Travis County, Texas, to determine whether cause exists under Article 8890, Revised Civil Statutes of Texas, to reinstate License Number 1611 heretofore issued to Melton G. Sowell, D.V.M., pursuant to Texas law.

At the hearing, Mary E. Mainster, D.V.M., Vice-President of the Board presided and Robert L. Lewis, D.V.M. was excused from voting. The following members were present:

Dr. Robert D. Lewis, President of Elgin, TX
Dr. Mary E. Mainster, Vice President of San Antonio, TX
Dr. Guy A. Sheppard, Secretary of San Angelo, TX
Dr. Larry M. Dubuisson, Member of Weslaco, TX
Dr. Alton F. Hopkins, Jr., Member of Dallas, TX
Mr. Mike Levi, Member of Spicewood TX
Mr. Clark S. Willingham, Member of Dallas, TX

The Texas State Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners was represented by Jennifer S. Riggs, Assistant Attorney General. The Respondent was present but was not represented by legal counsel, despite having been notified of his rights regarding same. Testimony and other evidence was received by the Board and, as a result thereof, the Board makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

Notice of Hearing on his Petition for reinstatement was served on Melton G. Sowell, D.V.M..

II.

Melton G. Sowell, D.V.M., hereinafter referred to as "Respondent" is not currently licensed to practice veterinary medicine in the State of Texas.

III.

Respondent's license to practice veterinary medicine was revoked by Board Order dated January 30, 1987.
IV.

-Respondent petitioned the Board for reinstatement of his license.

V.

Respondent’s license had been revoked in 1987 for serious violations of Board law and rules: (a) requiring maintenance of records on controlled substances, (b) dispensing Schedule II drugs without first establishing a veterinarian/client relationship, and (c) failing to use Triplicate Prescription forms.

VI.

Respondent produced only his testimony (a) regarding his general desire to resume practice and (b) stating that he had received psychological counseling as evidence in support of his petition.

VII.

Respondent stopped practicing veterinary medicine in August of 1989, refraining from practice for less than two years from this hearing on reinstatement.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I.

Neither Article 6252-13a, Texas Revised Civil Statutes, Annotated, nor Article 8890, Texas Revised Civil Statutes, Annotated, requires that the Board provide Respondent with a hearing on his Petition for Reinstatement.

II.

Respondent, by submitting a Petition for Reinstatement, requested and consented to the Board’s exercise of jurisdiction over his petition.

III.

Respondent failed to show cause why his license could, or should, be reinstated.

IV.

Respondent failed to show that he is entitled to licensure under Article 8890.

ORDERS

It is therefore ORDERED that License Number 2969, heretofore issued to MELTON G. SOWELL, D.V.M. by the TEXAS BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS shall not be reinstated.

It is further ORDERED that all Findings of Fact herein, that could be deemed Conclusions of Law, and all Conclusions of Law that could be deemed Findings of Fact, are hereby adopted as
The foregoing Findings and Orders of the Texas State Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners are ORDERED to be entered as the FINDINGS AND ORDERS of the Board, a quorum and a majority of the members of such Board being present and participating in such hearing, deliberations and decision, and such FINDINGS AND ORDERS are made on the 24th day June, 1991, in Austin, Travis County, Texas.

The Secretary of the Board is hereby ordered to prepare the Board’s Findings and Orders in appropriate form and submit to the members of the Board for their signatures and to mail a copy of same to the Respondent at his last known address.

Executed this 24th day of June, 1991.

RECUSED
Robert D. Lewis, D.V.M., President

Mary E. Mainster, D.V.M., Vice-President

Guy A. Sheppard, D.V.M., Secretary

Larry M. Dubuisson, D.V.M., Member

Mrs. Olivia R. Eudaly, Member

Alton F. Hopkins, Jr., D.V.M., Member

Mr. Mike Levi, Member

Fred K. Soifer, D.V.M., Member

Mr. Clark S. Willingham, Member
DOCKETED COMPLAINT NO. 1987-4

TEXAS BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS

vs.

MELTON G. SOWELL, D.V.M.

TEXAS VETERINARY MEDICAL LICENSE NO. 2969

1989 RENEWAL CERTIFICATE

NUMBER 3996

COMPLAINT AFFIDAVIT FORM

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, a Notary Public and for Texas, on this day personally appeared ERNIE M. CARROLL, who after being duly sworn, did depose and say:

"I, ERNIE M. CARROLL, being an employee of the Texas Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners, did in the course of such employment, make certain investigations into the professional conduct of one DR. MELTON G. SOWELL, Buena Vista Veterinary Clinic, 6487 South Horizon, El Paso, El Paso County, Texas, Texas Veterinary License Number 2969, 1989 Renewal Certificate Number 3996, a practitioner of veterinary medicine in the State of Texas. As an employee of the Texas Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners, I, ERNIE M. CARROLL, hereby present the following complaint against MELTON G. SOWELL, D.V.M., who is hereinafter called Respondent.

I.

The Respondent has failed to maintain, at his place of business, adequate drug inventory records on Ritalin, aka Methylphenidate Hydrochloride, a Schedule II Controlled Substance, ordered on the following DEA Form 222:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QUANTITY</th>
<th>STRENGTH</th>
<th>ORDER DATE</th>
<th>SHIPMENT DATE</th>
<th>DEA 222 ORDER NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 x 100</td>
<td>20 mg.</td>
<td>8/31/84</td>
<td>8/31/84</td>
<td>M33406752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 x 100</td>
<td>20 mg.</td>
<td>11/24/84</td>
<td>11/24/84</td>
<td>M33406754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 x 100</td>
<td>10 mg.</td>
<td>11/29/84</td>
<td>11/29/84</td>
<td>M33406755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 x 100</td>
<td>20 mg.</td>
<td>12/17/84</td>
<td>12/18/84</td>
<td>M33406753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 x 100</td>
<td>20 mg.</td>
<td>2/28/85</td>
<td>2/28/85</td>
<td>M33406736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 x 100</td>
<td>20 mg.</td>
<td>3/20/85</td>
<td>3/20/85</td>
<td>M33406737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 x 100</td>
<td>20 mg.</td>
<td>4/24/85</td>
<td>4/25/85</td>
<td>M33406735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 x 100</td>
<td>20 mg.</td>
<td>8/30/85</td>
<td>8/31/85</td>
<td>M33406738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 x 100</td>
<td>20 mg.</td>
<td>9/30/85</td>
<td>11/12/85</td>
<td>M33406739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 x 100</td>
<td>20 mg.</td>
<td>10/31/85</td>
<td>11/1/85</td>
<td>M33406740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 x 100</td>
<td>20 mg.</td>
<td>11/30/85</td>
<td>11/1/85</td>
<td>M33406741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 x 100</td>
<td>20 mg.</td>
<td>12/31/85</td>
<td>1/14/86</td>
<td>M33406742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 x 100</td>
<td>20 mg.</td>
<td>1/27/86</td>
<td>1/29/86</td>
<td>M33406743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 x 100</td>
<td>20 mg.</td>
<td>2/17/86</td>
<td>2/17/86</td>
<td>M33406745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 x 100</td>
<td>20 mg.</td>
<td>2/26/86</td>
<td>2/26/86</td>
<td>M33406746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 x 100</td>
<td>10 mg.</td>
<td>3/15/86</td>
<td>3/15/86</td>
<td>860037586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 x 100</td>
<td>20 mg.</td>
<td>3/4/86</td>
<td>4/1/86</td>
<td>M33406748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 x 100</td>
<td>20 mg.</td>
<td>3/27/86</td>
<td>4/1/86</td>
<td>860037598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 x 100</td>
<td>20 mg.</td>
<td>4/9/86</td>
<td>4/9/86</td>
<td>860037597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 x 100</td>
<td>20 mg.</td>
<td>4/17/86</td>
<td>4/17/86</td>
<td>860037596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 x 100</td>
<td>20 mg.</td>
<td>4/26/86</td>
<td>4/26/86</td>
<td>M33406747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 x 100</td>
<td>20 mg.</td>
<td>5/16/86</td>
<td>5/16/86</td>
<td>860037593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUANTITY RECEIVED</td>
<td>STRENGTH</td>
<td>ORDER DATE</td>
<td>SHIEMENT DATE</td>
<td>DEA 222 ORDER NO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 x 100</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/27/86</td>
<td>6/27/86</td>
<td>860037590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 x 100</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/2/86</td>
<td>6/2/86</td>
<td>860037592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 x 100</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/16/86</td>
<td>6/16/86</td>
<td>860037591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II.

Respondent failed to maintain, at his place of business, adequate drug inventory records for the Schedule II Controlled Substance Dilaudid, aka Hydromorphone Hcl, ordered on the following DEA Forms 222:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QUANTITY RECEIVED</th>
<th>STRENGTH</th>
<th>ORDER DATE</th>
<th>SHIPMENT DATE</th>
<th>DEA 222 ORDER NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 x 100</td>
<td>4 mg.</td>
<td>10/31/85</td>
<td>11/1/85</td>
<td>M33406740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 x 100</td>
<td></td>
<td>11/30/85</td>
<td>1/31/86</td>
<td>M33406741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 x 100</td>
<td></td>
<td>12/31/85</td>
<td>12/31/85</td>
<td>M33405742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 x 100</td>
<td></td>
<td>1/27/86</td>
<td>1/29/86</td>
<td>M33406744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 x 100</td>
<td></td>
<td>2/26/86</td>
<td>2/26/86</td>
<td>M33405746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 x 100</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/15/86</td>
<td>3/15/86</td>
<td>860037583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 x 100</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/27/86</td>
<td>4/1/86</td>
<td>860037598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 x 100</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/9/86</td>
<td>4/9/86</td>
<td>860037597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 x 100</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/26/86</td>
<td>4/26/86</td>
<td>860037594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 x 100</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/3/86</td>
<td>5/3/86</td>
<td>860037595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 x 100</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/9/86</td>
<td>5/9/86</td>
<td>860037592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 x 100</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/16/86</td>
<td>5/16/86</td>
<td>860037593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 x 100</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/27/86</td>
<td>6/27/86</td>
<td>860037590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 x 100</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/2/86</td>
<td>6/2/86</td>
<td>860037592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 x 100</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/16/86</td>
<td>6/16/86</td>
<td>860037591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 x 100</td>
<td></td>
<td>8/1/86</td>
<td>8/1/86</td>
<td>860037584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 x 100</td>
<td></td>
<td>8/25/86</td>
<td>8/25/86</td>
<td>860037586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 x 500</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/17/86</td>
<td>9/17/86</td>
<td>860037589</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III.

On or about September 18, 1986, ERNIE M. CARROLL, Investigator, State Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners, inspected the patient records corresponding to the Ritalin aka Methylphenidate Hydrochloride, and the Dilaudid, aka Hydromorphone Hcl, reflected in Paragraphs I and II and found the Respondent has failed to maintain patient records reflecting the use of this drug.

IV.

Respondent failed to dispense and/or prescribe the Ritalin and/or Dilaudid listed in Paragraphs I & II for medically sound reasons in that the Respondent provided the Ritalin and Dilaudid when not necessary or required for the care of animals.

V.

Respondent failed to establish a veterinary/client/patient relationship prior to dispensing and/or prescribing the Ritalin and/or Dilaudid mentioned in paragraphs I and II.
VI

Respondent failed to utilize Triplicate Prescriptions, as required by the Texas Controlled Substances Act, when dispensing and/or prescribing both the Ritalin and Dilaudid mentioned in paragraphs I and II.

VII.

Respondent’s USDA Veterinary Accreditation was revoked effective September 26, 1988, based on issuance of Health Certificates without performance of required inspection and testing.

VIII.

By failing to maintain, at his place of business, adequate drug inventory records for the Schedule II Controlled Substances listed in Paragraphs I & II, the Respondent has violated Article 7465a, V.A.C.S., Section 7(b), Veterinary Practice Act which states:

Article 7465a, Section 7(b), Veterinary Practice Act - The Board may require its licensees to maintain a record-keeping system for certain controlled substances prescribed by the Board that includes the quantities and date of purchase, quantities and date dispensed, quantities and date administered, balance on hand, the name and address of the client and patient receiving the drugs, and the reason for dispensing or administering the drugs to such patient. The records are subject to review by law enforcement agencies and by representatives of the Board. A failure to keep such records shall be grounds for revoking, cancelling, suspending, or probating the license of any practitioner of veterinary medicine.

IX.

By failing to maintain adequate drug inventory records at his place of business for the Schedule II Controlled Substances listed in Paragraph I & II, the Respondent has violated Rule 30 of the Rules of Professional Conduct which states:

Rule 30 - Texas veterinarians shall maintain at their place of business records of all drugs listed in Schedule II of 21 Code of Federal Regulation, Part 1300 to end, in their possession. These records shall be maintained for a minimum of five (5) years. The Board shall prescribe a form for keeping records of those Schedule II substances which shall contain the following information:
A. Date of Acquisition
B. Quantity Purchased
C. Date Administered, Dispensed, or Prescribed
D. Quantity Administered, Dispensed, or Prescribed
E. Name of Client and Patient receiving the Drug(s)
F. Diagnosis
G. Balance on Hand

X.

Through failure to maintain patient records to substantiate use of the Controlled Substances listed in Paragraphs I and II of this Com-
plaint, the Respondent has violated Rule 32 of the Rules of Professional which states:

Rule 32 -
Individual records will include, but are not limited to, identification of patient, patient history, including immunization records, dates of visits, x-rays, names and dosages of medications administered and/or dispensed, and other details as necessary to substantiate diagnosis and treatment.

Patient records shall be maintained for a period of three years and are the responsibility and property of the employing veterinarian.

XI.

By administering the Schedule II Controlled Substances listed in Paragraphs I and II of this complaint when not necessary or required for the care of animals, the Respondent has violated Rule 33 of the Rules of Professional Conduct which states:

Rule 33 -
It shall be unprofessional and a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct for the veterinary profession for a veterinarian to prescribe, provide, obtain, order, administer, possess, dispense, give or deliver to or for any person, narcotic drugs, dangerous drugs, or any controlled substances that are not necessary or required for the care of animals, or where the use or possession of such drugs would promote addiction thereto. For purposes of the rule the term Narcotic Drugs, Dangerous Drugs, and Controlled Substances, shall mean those which are defined and recognized as such by any law of the State of Texas or of the United States.

XII.

By failing to establish a veterinary/patient relationship prior to dispensing and/or prescribing the Ritalin and/or Dilaudid mentioned in Paragraphs I and II, Respondent have violated Rule 27 of the Rules of Professional Conduct which states:

Rule 27:
It is unprofessional conduct for a licensed veterinarian to prescribe or dispense, deliver or order delivered any controlled substance without first having established a patient/veterinarian relationship by having personally examined the individual animal, herd, or a representative segment or a consignment lot thereof and determined that such controlled substance is therapeutically indicated following said examination.

XIII.

By failing to utilize Triplicate Prescriptions, as required by the Texas Controlled Substances Act, when dispensing and/or prescribing the Ritalin and/or Dilaudid listed in Paragraphs I and II, the Respondent has violated Rule 5 of the Rules of Professional Conduct which states:
Rule 5:
No veterinarian shall render any service or advice involving disloyalty to the law. A veterinarian must also observe and advise his client to observe the statute law.

XIV.

By having his U.S.D.A. Veterinary Accreditation revoked, Respondent has violated Rule 25 of the Rules of Professional Conduct which states:

Rule 25:
A licensed veterinarian whose accreditation has been or is subject to being removed by State or Federal authority may be subject to disciplinary action by the Texas State Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners upon proof of the acts or omissions constituting the grounds for removal of his accreditation.

XIV.

The Texas State Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners has grounds to take disciplinary action against Dr. Sowell’s veterinary License under the Texas Veterinary Licensing Act, Article 7465a, V.A.T.C.S., Section 14(c) and (e) which state:

Article 7465a, Section 14(c) and (e), Veterinary Licensing Act - "... the Board may revoke or suspend a license, place a person whose license has been suspended on probation or reprimand a licensee, ... if it finds that an applicant or licensee: (c) has engaged in dishonest or illegal practices in or connected with the practice of veterinary medicine; or (e) has engaged in practices or conduct in connection with the practice of veterinary medicine which are violative of the standards of professional conduct as duly promulgated by the Board in accordance with the law."

The foregoing complaint is submitted to the Secretary of the Texas Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners on this the 24th day of March, 1989.

Further, Affiant sayeth not.

[Signature]
ERNE M. CARROLL, Affiant

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN TO before me by the said ERNE M. CARROLL, this the 24th day of March, 1989.

[Signature]
JUDY C. SMITH
Notary Public
STATE OF TEXAS
My Comm. Exp. 5-13-89

The foregoing Complaint is hereby filed and docketed with the
Texas State Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners and styled Texas
State Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners vs. Melton G. Sowell,
D.V.M., under Docket Number 1987-4, this the \_\_ day of March,
1989.

Robert D. Lewis

ROBERT D. LEWIS, D.V.M., Secretary
Texas State Board of Veterinary
Medical Examiners
DOCKETED COMPLAINT NO. 1987-4

TEXAS STATE BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS

vs.

MELTON GORDON SOWELL, D.V.M.

TEXAS VETERINARY MEDICAL LICENSE NO. 2969

1986 RENEWAL CERTIFICATE NUMBER 4323

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND ORDERS OF THE BOARD

On the 30th day of January, 1987, the TEXAS STATE BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS, being in regular meeting at the Hyatt Regency Hotel in Fort Worth, Tarrant County, Texas, such meeting being called for the purpose of hearing and considering the above numbered and entitled complaint as well as for consideration of other Board business, and the above entitled and numbered complaint having been previously scheduled for hearing and Defendant, the said MELTON G. SOWELL, D.V.M., having been duly notified and the following members of the TEXAS STATE BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS being present, to-wit:

DR. ED B. AVERY, President
DR. EDWARD S. MURRAY, Vice-President
DR. W. L. "DUB" ANDERSON, Secretary
MR. JIM F. HUMPHREY, Member
MR. MIKE LEVI, Member
DR. MARY E. MAINSTER, Member
DR. FRANK E. MANN, JR., Member
DR. FRED K. SOIFER, Member

of Pearsall, TX
of Spur, TX
of Addison, TX
of Henrietta, TX
of Spicewood, TX
of San Antonio, TX
of Wharton, TX
of Houston, TX

At 9:00 a.m., the appointed hour, the President of the Board, DR. ED B. AVERY ordered the case to proceed at which time it was ascertained that MELTON G. SOWELL, D.V.M. and his legal counsel, SHERAL PETERSON, were present in person; the Board then proceeded to hear the evidence presented by the State, and SHERAL PETERSON and on the same day, January 30, 1987, all of the above members of said Board being present and participating, makes the following findings, to-wit:

FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

MELTON G. SOWELL, D.V.M. is a doctor of veterinary medicine, licensed to practice veterinary medicine in the State of Texas under License Number 2969, 1986 Renewal Certificate Number 4323, with offices in El Paso, El Paso County, Texas.
II.


III.

MELTON G. SOWELL, D.V.M. was present before the Board and was represented by legal counsel.

IV.

MELTON G. SOWELL, D.V.M. has failed to maintain, at his place of business, adequate records for Schedule II drugs.

V.

MELTON G. SOWELL, D.V.M. routinely dispensed Schedule II drugs to trainers based on the trainer's diagnoses and without establishing a veterinarian/client/patient relationship to determine if these Schedule II drugs are administered for medically sound reasons, nor is he able to confirm that the drugs were actually administered to the animals.

VI.

MELTON G. SOWELL, D.V.M. failed to utilize a Triplicate Prescription form as prescribed by the Texas Controlled Substances Act when dispensing Schedule II drugs.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I.

Because MELTON G. SOWELL, D.V.M. failed to maintain records on controlled substances at his place of business, he has violated Rule 30 of the Rules of Professional Conduct which states:

"Texas veterinarians shall maintain at their place of business records of all drugs listed in Schedule II of 21 Code of Federal Regulation, Part 1300 to end, in their possession. These records shall be maintained for a minimum of five (5) years. The Board shall prescribe a form for keeping records on those Schedule II substances which shall contain the following information:
A. Date of Acquisition
B. Quantity Purchased"
G. Date Administered, Dispensed, or Prescribed
D. Quantity Administered, Dispensed, or Prescribed
E. Name of Client and Patient receiving the Drug(s)
F. Diagnosis
G. Balance on Hand"

II.
Because of the acts in Finding No. IV, failing to maintain records on controlled substances at his place of business, MELTON G. SOWELL, D.V.M. has violated Article 7465a, Section 7(b) of the Veterinary Practice Act which states:

"The Board may require its licensees to maintain a record-keeping system for certain controlled substances prescribed by the Board that includes the quantities and date of purchase, quantities and date dispensed, quantities and date administered, balance on hand, the name and address of the client and patient receiving the drugs, and the reason for dispensing or administering the drugs to such patient. The records are subject to review by law enforcement agencies and by representatives of the Board. A failure to keep such records shall be grounds for revoking, cancelling, suspending, or probating the license of any practitioner of veterinary medicine."

III.
Further, through the acts stated in Finding V, dispensing Schedule II drugs without establishing a veterinarian/client relationship to determine if the drugs are administered and/or for medically sound reasons DR. MELTON G. SOWELL has violated Rule 27, of the Rules of Professional Conduct which states:

Rule 27 -
It is unprofessional conduct for a licensed veterinarian to prescribe or dispense, deliver or order delivered any controlled substance without first having established a patient/veterinarian relationship by having personally examined the individual animal, herd, or a representative segment or a consignment lot thereof and determined that such controlled substance is therapeutically indicated following said examination."

IV.
Further, through the acts stated in Finding No. V, dispensing Schedule II drugs without establishing a veterinarian/client relationship to determine if the drugs are administered and/or for medically
sound reasons DR. MELTON G. SOWELL has violated Rule 32, of the Rules of Professional Conduct which states:

Rule 32 - Individual records will include, but are not limited to, identification of patient, patient history, including immunization records, dates of visits, x-rays, names and dosages of medications administered and/or dispensed, and other details as necessary to substantiate diagnosis and treatment.

Patient records shall be maintained for a period of three (3) years and are the responsibility and property of the employing veterinarian.

V.

Further, through the acts stated in Finding No. V, dispensing Schedule II drugs without establishing a veterinarian/client relationship to determine if the drugs are administered and/or for medically sound reasons DR. MELTON G. SOWELL has violated Rule 33, of the Rules of Professional Conduct which states:

Rule 33 - It shall be unprofessional and a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct for the veterinary profession or veterinarian to prescribe, provide, obtain, order, administer, possess, dispense, give or deliver to or for any person, narcotic drugs, dangerous drugs, or any controlled substances that are not necessary or required for the care of animals, or where the use or possession of such drugs would promote addiction thereto. For purposes of the rule the term Narcotic Drugs, Dangerous Drugs, and Controlled Substances shall mean those which are defined and recognized as such by any law of the State of Texas or of the United States.

VI.

Because of the acts outlined in Finding No. VI, failing to utilize Triplicate Prescription forms as required under the Controlled Substances Act, MELTON G. SOWELL, D.V.M. has violated Rule 5 of the Rules of Professional Conduct which states:

Rule 5 - No veterinarian shall render any service, or advice involving disloyalty to the law. A veterinarian must also observe and advise his client to observe the statute law.
VII
MELTON G. SOWELL, D.V.M. "has engaged in dishonest or illegal practices in or connected with the practice of veterinary medicine;" under Article 7465a, Section 14(c) which gives the Board grounds to revoke or suspend a license, place a person whose license has been suspended on probation or reprimand a licensee.

VIII.
MELTON G. SOWELL, D.V.M. "has engaged in practices or conduct in connection with the practice of veterinary medicine which are violative of the standards of professional conduct as duly promulgated by the Board in accordance with the law" under Article 7465a, Section 14(e) which gives the Board grounds to revoke, or suspend a license, place a person whose license has been suspended on probation or reprimand a licensee.

ORDERS

It is hereby ORDERED that Texas Veterinary License No. 4008, heretofore issued to MELTON G. SOWELL, D.V.M. by the TEXAS STATE BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS, be and the same is HEREBY REVOKED.
The foregoing Findings and Orders of the Texas State Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners are ORDERED to be entered as the FINDINGS AND ORDERS of the Board, a quorum and a majority of the members of such Board being present and participating in such hearing, deliberations and decision, and such FINDINGS AND ORDERS are made on the 30th day January, 1987, in Fort Worth, Tarrant County, Texas.

The Secretary of the Board is hereby ordered to prepare the Board's Findings and Orders in appropriate form and submit to the members of the Board for their signatures and to mail a copy of same to the Respondent at his last known address.

Executed this 30th day of January, 1987.

Ed B. Avery, D.V.M.
ED B. AVERY, D.V.M., President

(Vacant)
Vice-President

W. L. "DUE" ANDERSON, D.V.M., Secretary

Jim E. Humphrey
JIM E. HUMPHREY, Member

Mike Levi

Mary E. Mainster, D.V.M.
MARY E. MAINSTER, D.V.M., Member

Frank E. Mann, Jr., D.V.M., Member

Fred K. Soifer, D.V.M., Member

Edward S. Murray, D.V.M., Member

Date 1/30/87

Date 1/30/87

Date 1/30/87

Date 1/30/87

Date 1/30/87